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Probability

4-2 Addition Rule and Multiplication Rule
Objectives

• Develop the ability to calculate the probability that in a single trial, some event A occurs or some event B occurs or they both occur. Apply the addition rule by correctly adjusting for events that are not disjoint (or are overlapping)

• Develop the ability to calculate the probability of an event A occurring in a first trial and an event B occurring in a second trial. Apply the multiplication rule by adjusting for events that are not independent

• Distinguish between independent events and dependent events
Compound Event

A compound event is any event combining two or more simple events.
Addition Rule

Notation for Addition Rule

\[ P(A \text{ or } B) = P(\text{in a single trial, event } A \text{ occurs or event } B \text{ occurs or they both occur}) \]
Intuitive Addition Rule

To find $P(A \text{ or } B)$, add the number of ways event $A$ can occur and the number of ways event $B$ can occur, but add in such a way that every outcome is counted only once. $P(A \text{ or } B)$ is equal to that sum, divided by the total number of outcomes in the sample space.
Formal Addition Rule

\[ P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \text{ and } B) \]

where \( P(A \text{ and } B) \) denotes the probability that \( A \) and \( B \) both occur at the same time as an outcome in a trial of a procedure.
Disjoint Events and the Addition Rule

**Disjoint (or mutually exclusive)**

Events $A$ and $B$ are **disjoint (or mutually exclusive)** if they cannot occur at the same time. (That is, disjoint events do not overlap.)
Example: Disjoint Events

Disjoint events:

**Event A**—Randomly selecting someone for a clinical trial who is a male

**Event B**—Randomly selecting someone for a clinical trial who is a female

(The selected person *cannot* be both.)
Example: Disjoint Events

Events that are *not* disjoint:  
**Event A**—Randomly selecting someone taking a statistics course  
**Event B**—Randomly selecting someone who is a female  
(The selected person *can* be both.)
Summary

Here is a summary of the key points of the addition rule:

1. To find $P(A \text{ or } B)$, first associate the word *or* with addition.

2. To find the value of $P(A \text{ or } B)$, add the number of ways $A$ can occur and the number of ways $B$ can occur, but be careful to add without double counting.
In Exercises 9–20, use the data in the following table, which lists drive-thru order accuracy at popular fast food chains (data from a QSR Drive-Thru Study). Assume that orders are randomly selected from those included in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>McDonald’s</th>
<th>Burger King</th>
<th>Wendy’s</th>
<th>Taco Bell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order Accurate</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Not Accurate</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Fast Food Drive-Thru Accuracy If one order is selected, find the probability of getting an order from McDonald’s or an order that is accurate. Are the events of selecting an order from McDonald’s and selecting an accurate order disjoint events?  NO

\[
\frac{362}{1118} + \frac{987}{1118} - \frac{329}{1118} = \frac{1020}{1118} = \frac{510}{559}, \text{ or } 0.912; \text{ The two events are not disjoint.}
\]
Complementary Events and the Addition Rule

We use \( \bar{A} \) to indicate that event \( A \) does not occur.

Common sense dictates this principle: We are certain (with probability 1) that either an event \( A \) occurs or it does not occur, so it follows that 
\[
P(A \text{ or } \bar{A}) = 1.
\]
Because events \( A \) and \( \bar{A} \) must be disjoint, we can use the addition rule to express this principle as follows:
\[
P(A \text{ or } \bar{A}) = P(A) + P(\bar{A}) = 1
\]
Rule of Complementary Events

\[ P(A) + P(\overline{A}) = 1 \]

\[ P(\overline{A}) = 1 - P(A) \]

\[ P(A) = 1 - P(\overline{A}) \]
Example: Sleepwalking

Based on a journal article, the probability of randomly selecting someone who has sleepwalked is 0.292, so $P(\text{sleepwalked}) = 0.292$ (based on data from “Prevalence and Comorbidity of Nocturnal Wandering in the U.S. General Population,” by Ohayon et al., *Neurology*, Vol. 78, No. 20). If a person is randomly selected, find the probability of getting someone who has not sleepwalked.
Example: Sleepwalking

Solution
Using the rule of complementary events, we get

\[
P(\text{has not sleepwalked}) = 1 - P(\text{sleepwalked}) = 1 - 0.292 = 0.708
\]

The probability of randomly selecting someone who has not sleepwalked is 0.708.
Rule of Complements

When randomly selecting an adult, let $B$ represent the event of randomly selecting someone with type $B$ blood. Write a sentence describing what the rule of complements is telling us: $P(B \text{ or } \overline{B}) = 1$.

It is certain that the selected adult has type B blood or does not have type B blood.
8. Sobriety Checkpoint  When the author observed a sobriety checkpoint conducted by the Dutchess County Sheriff Department, he saw that 676 drivers were screened and 6 were arrested for driving while intoxicated. Based on those results, we can estimate that $P(I) = 0.00888$, where $I$ denotes the event of screening a driver and getting someone who is intoxicated. What does $P(\bar{I})$ denote, and what is its value?

$p(\bar{I}) = 1 - 0.00888$

$P(I)$ denotes the probability of screening a driver and finding that he or she is not intoxicated, and $P(\bar{I}) = 0.99112$, or 0.991 when rounded.
Multiplication Rule

Notation

\[ P(A \text{ and } B) = P(\text{event A occurs in a first trial and event B occurs in a second trial}) \]

\[ P(B \mid A) \] represents the probability of event \( B \) occurring after it is assumed that event \( A \) has already occurred.
Intuitive Multiplication Rule

To find the probability that event $A$ occurs in one trial and event $B$ occurs in another trial, multiply the probability of event $A$ by the probability of event $B$, but be sure that the probability of event $B$ is found by assuming that event $A$ has already occurred.
Formal Multiplication Rule

\[ P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B \mid A) \]
Independence and the Multiplication Rule

**Independent**

Two events $A$ and $B$ are independent if the occurrence of one does not affect the probability of the occurrence of the other. (Several events are independent if the occurrence of any does not affect the probabilities of the occurrence of the others.) If $A$ and $B$ are not independent, they are said to be dependent.
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

50 test results from the subjects who use drugs are shown below:

Positive Test Results: 45
Negative Test Results: 5
Total: 50
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

a. If 2 of these 50 subjects are randomly selected with replacement, find the probability the first selected person had a positive test result and the second selected person had a negative test result.

b. Repeat part (a) by assuming that the two subjects are selected without replacement.
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

Solution

a. *With Replacement*: First selection (with 45 positive results among 50 total results):

\[ P(\text{positive test result}) = \frac{45}{50} \]

Second selection (with 5 negative test results among the same 50 total results):

\[ P(\text{negative test result}) = \frac{5}{50} \]
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

Solution (part (a) continued)

We now apply the multiplication rule as follows:

\[ P(\text{1st selection is positive and 2nd is negative}) \]

\[ = \frac{45}{50} \cdot \frac{5}{50} = 0.0900 \]
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

Solution

b. *Without Replacement:* Without replacement of the first subject, the calculations are the same as in part (a), except that the second probability must be adjusted to reflect the fact that the first selection was positive and is not available for the second selection. After the first positive result is selected, we have 49 test results remaining, and 5 of them are negative. The second probability is therefore $\frac{5}{49}$. 
Example: Screening Drugs and the Basic Multiplication Rule

Solution (part (b) continued)

\[ P(1\text{st selection is positive and 2nd is negative}) = \frac{45}{50} \cdot \frac{5}{49} = 0.0918 \]
Sampling

In the world of statistics, sampling methods are critically important, and the following relationships hold:

- Sampling with replacement: Selections are independent events.
- Sampling without replacement: Selections are dependent events.
Treating Dependent Events and Independent

5% Guideline for Cumbersome Calculations

When sampling without replacement and the sample size is no more than 5% of the size of the population, treat the selections as being independent (even though they are actually dependent).
Example: Drug Screening and the 5% Guideline for Cumbersome Calculations

Assume that three adults are randomly selected \textit{without replacement} from the 247,436,830 adults in the United States. Also assume that 10% of adults in the United States use drugs. Find the probability that the three selected adults all use drugs.
Example: Drug Screening and the 5% Guideline for Cumbersome Calculations

Solution

Because the three adults are randomly selected without replacement, the three events are dependent, but here we can treat them as being independent by applying the 5% guideline for cumbersome calculations. The sample size of 3 is clearly no more than 5% of the population size of 247,436,830.
Solution

We get \( P(\text{all 3 adults use drugs}) \)

\[
= P(\text{first uses drugs} \text{ and} \text{ second uses drugs} \text{ and} \text{ third uses drugs})
\]

\[
= P(\text{first uses drugs}) \cdot P(\text{second uses drugs}) \cdot P(\text{third uses drugs})
\]

\[
= (0.10)(0.10)(0.10)
\]

\[
= 0.00100
\]

There is a 0.00100 probability that all three selected adults use drugs.
3. Sample for a Poll  There are 15,524,971 adults in Florida. If The Gallup organization randomly selects 1068 adults without replacement, are the selections independent or dependent? If the selections are dependent, can they be treated as being independent for the purposes of calculations?

Because the selections are made without replacement, the events are dependent. Because the sample size of 1068 is less than 5% of the population size of 15,524,971, the selections can be treated as being independent (based on the 5% guideline for cumbersome calculations).
In Exercises 9–20, use the data in the following table, which lists drive-thru order accuracy at popular fast food chains (data from a QSR Drive-Thru Study). Assume that orders are randomly selected from those included in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>McDonald's</th>
<th>Burger King</th>
<th>Wendy's</th>
<th>Taco Bell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Order Accurate</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Not Accurate</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. Fast Food Drive-Thru Accuracy If two orders are selected, find the probability that they are both from Taco Bell.

a. Assume that the selections are made with replacement. Are the events independent?

\[
\text{Probability} = \frac{158}{1118} \cdot \frac{158}{1118} = 0.0200; \text{ Yes, the events are independent.}
\]

b. Assume that the selections are made without replacement. Are the events independent?

\[
\text{Probability} = \frac{158}{1118} \cdot \frac{157}{1117} = 0.0199; \text{ The events are dependent, not independent.}
\]
Redundancy: Important Application of the Multiplication Rule

The principle of redundancy is used to increase the reliability of many systems.

Our eyes have passive redundancy in the sense that if one of them fails, we continue to see. An important finding of modern biology is that genes in an organism can often work in place of each other. Engineers often design redundant components so that the whole system will not fail because of the failure of a single component.
Example: Airbus 310; Redundancy for Better Safety

Modern aircraft are now highly reliable, and one design feature contributing to that reliability is the use of *redundancy*, whereby critical components are duplicated so that if one fails, the other will work. For example, the Airbus 310 twin-engine airliner has three independent hydraulic systems, so if any one system fails, full flight control is maintained with another functioning system.
Example: Airbus 310; Redundancy for Better Safety

For this example, we will assume that for a typical flight, the probability of a hydraulic system failure is 0.002.

a. If the Airbus 310 were to have one hydraulic system, what is the probability that the aircraft’s flight control would work for a flight?

b. Given that the Airbus 310 actually has three independent hydraulic systems, what is the probability that on a typical flight, control can be maintained with a working hydraulic system?
Example: Airbus 310; Redundancy for Better Safety

Solution

a. The probability of a hydraulic system failure is 0.002, so the probability that it does not fail is 0.998. That is, the probability that flight control can be maintained is as follows:

\[
P(1 \text{ hydraulic system does not fail}) = 1 - P(\text{failure})
\]

\[
= 1 - 0.002
\]

\[
= 0.998
\]
Example: Airbus 310; Redundancy for Better Safety

Solution

b. With three independent hydraulic systems, flight control will be maintained if the three systems do not all fail. The probability of all three hydraulic systems failing is

\[ 0.002 \times 0.002 \times 0.002 = 0.000000008. \]

It follows that the probability of maintaining flight control is as follows:

\[ P(\text{it does not happen that all three hydraulic systems fail}) = 1 - 0.000000008 \]
\[ = 0.999999992 \]
Example: Airbus 310; Redundancy for Better Safety

Interpretation

With only one hydraulic system we have a 0.002 probability of failure, but with three independent hydraulic systems, there is only a 0.000000008 probability that flight control cannot be maintained because all three systems failed.

By using three hydraulic systems instead of only one, risk of failure is decreased not by a factor of 1/3, but by a factor of 1/250,000. By using three independent hydraulic systems, risk is dramatically decreased and safety is dramatically increased.
25. Redundancy in Computer Hard Drives It is generally recognized that it is wise to back up computer data. Assume that there is a 3% rate of disk drive failure in a year (based on data from various sources, including lifehacker.com).

a. If you store all of your computer data on a single hard disk drive, what is the probability that the drive will fail during a year?

b. If all of your computer data are stored on a hard disk drive with a copy stored on a second hard disk drive, what is the probability that both drives will fail during a year?

c. If copies of all of your computer data are stored on three independent hard disk drives, what is the probability that all three will fail during a year?

d. Describe the improved reliability that is gained with backup drives.

   a. 0.03
25. Redundancy in Computer Hard Drives It is generally recognized that it is wise to back up computer data. Assume that there is a 3% rate of disk drive failure in a year (based on data from various sources, including lifehacker.com).

a. If you store all of your computer data on a single hard disk drive, what is the probability that the drive will fail during a year?

b. If all of your computer data are stored on a hard disk drive with a copy stored on a second hard disk drive, what is the probability that both drives will fail during a year?

c. If copies of all of your computer data are stored on three independent hard disk drives, what is the probability that all three will fail during a year?

d. Describe the improved reliability that is gained with backup drives.

b. 0.03 \cdot 0.03 = 0.0009
25. Redundancy in Computer Hard Drives It is generally recognized that it is wise to back up computer data. Assume that there is a 3% rate of disk drive failure in a year (based on data from various sources, including lifehacker.com).

a. If you store all of your computer data on a single hard disk drive, what is the probability that the drive will fail during a year?

b. If all of your computer data are stored on a hard disk drive with a copy stored on a second hard disk drive, what is the probability that both drives will fail during a year?

c. If copies of all of your computer data are stored on three independent hard disk drives, what is the probability that all three will fail during a year?

d. Describe the improved reliability that is gained with backup drives.

c. \(0.03 \cdot 0.03 \cdot 0.03 = 0.000027\)
25. Redundancy in Computer Hard Drives It is generally recognized that it is wise to back
up computer data. Assume that there is a 3% rate of disk drive failure in a year (based on data
from various sources, including lifehacker.com).

a. If you store all of your computer data on a single hard disk drive, what is the probability that
the drive will fail during a year?

b. If all of your computer data are stored on a hard disk drive with a copy stored on a second
hard disk drive, what is the probability that both drives will fail during a year?

c. If copies of all of your computer data are stored on three independent hard disk drives, what
is the probability that all three will fail during a year?

d. Describe the improved reliability that is gained with backup drives.

d. By using one drive without a backup, the probability of total failure is 0.03, and with three independent disk
drives, the probability drops to 0.000027. By changing from one drive to three, the probability of total failure
drops from 0.03 to 0.000027, and that is a very substantial improvement in reliability. Back up your data!
Summary of Addition Rule and Multiplication Rule

- **Addition Rule for** \( P(A \text{ or } B) \): The word *or* suggests addition, and when adding \( P(A) \) and \( P(B) \), we must add in such a way that every outcome is counted only once.

- **Multiplication Rule for** \( P(A \text{ and } B) \): The word *and* for two trials suggests multiplication, and when multiplying \( P(A) \) and \( P(B) \), we must be sure that the probability of event \( B \) takes into account the previous occurrence of event \( A \).