Business_Ethics.edited_1_3.edited_1.docx

by

Submission date: 28-Nov-2021 06:18PM (UTC-0600)
Submission ID: 1714523640
File name: Business_Ethics.edited_1_3.edited_1.docx (21.7K)
Word count: 1473
Character count: 8303

xxx

Professor Scharding

Business Ethics

7 December 2021

Introduction

Following PG&E's move to cut power to over one million Californians, there has been a surge of irritation, fury, and mistrust over who should be held responsible, what their intentions are, and whether there is a way to prevent blackouts from being the next normal state of affairs. The strategy is unethical because their mandate is to provide services to citizens who pay for those services. This paper will use the ideal utilitarianism theory approach to evaluate PG&E's fundamental strategy to avert fires by shutting down the energy grid, examining the ethical practice's concerns, and generating a preference between the moral condition and the raised complaint, determining that the action to cut off power is unethical. **Therefore, even though the ethical evaluation, in this case, will suggest that the company's move to cut off power is moral, the arguments will indicate the contrary.**

Case Description

PG&E mentioned in regulatory documents that they intended to avert further tragic wildfires by turning off the electricity in areas prone to fire in their region of operation, which is home to 5.4 million individuals **This power shut down strategy by the company is the action to be evaluated.**

I believe that the idea of power shut-offs is unethical considering that millions of citizens in this region pay for the power; therefore, it is only right that PG&E looks for an

alternative way of dealing with the issue. The San Francisco-based business filed for bankruptcy, alleging more than $30 billion in possible reparations from wildfires caused by their paraphernalia. This strategy extends to PG&E admitting that it cannot continuously perform its core function of supplying power securely and dependably. Famine and a drying environment have transformed the country's northern woodlands into tinderboxes, and the efficacy has neglected to implement necessary improvements to strengthen its system (Gold & Blunt).

Some of the most contentious ethical concerns surrounding wildfires in California have been over whether PG&E must be considered solely responsible for flames triggered by its electrical components. With thousands of lives and large sums of money at stake, the firm has attempted to limit its culpability through several means, including jurisdictive efforts and power outages during stormy conditions. However, PG&E's obligation is only one element of the responsibility equivalence; the company did not cause the famine, did not stir the windy weather, and encouraged brush to accrue on California's territory. Earlier, many power providers filed to California regulators their mandated wildfire mitigation plans. However, PG&E's strategy may be particularly significant, considering its power lines have been implicated in multiple Northern California fires in recent years. Last month, the corporation declared bankruptcy, citing billions of dollars in possible responsibility from two years of wildfires. To combat wildfire danger, the firm notified the state's public utilities regulator that turning off electricity would certainly be essential and may need to be accomplished more often owing to severe weather events and dry vegetation conditions. They also said that they recognized the severity of the issue, that lives might be at risk, and that they must act more rapidly.

Ethical Evaluation

Ideal utilitarianism posit that single important morality criterion upholds a variation of intrinsic goods meant for everybody capable of getting them. Consequently, in such a case, appeal of PG&E's shutting down the grid decides quality or worth of projected result, that is preventing wildfires. Their intent coincides with consequentialism, which believes that acts' morality is determined only by their effects. The envisioned goal of PG&E is securing possessions and lives, coming up as an intrinsic good for every individual. The intrinsic value of anything is defined as its worth in itself. Additionally, PG&E's move coincides with the idea of welfarism. This is the belief that only a person's wellbeing decides how good a specific state of the universe is.

Lives and property are considered intrinsic goods because they are worthwhile, not because they contribute to something else but only for their merit. Life has no special worth to us besides the fact that it allows us to have memories, and it is these experiences that we value. Therefore, the ability to experience gives life its intrinsic value, which is not attributable to the physical, yet the physical has the possibility for these encounters. Similarly, the intrinsic property of a substance is autonomous of how much of it is available and of the material's shape.

Objection

While the utilitarian approach result is generating happiness, one weakness is that happiness is subjective. Therefore, the company's intended satisfaction, ending wildfires, would differ from that of the residents likewise, although the utilitarian approach treats everyone equally irrespective of social or emotional attachments. The weakness is that this approach fails to identify that individuals have various duties or obligations towards others. Therefore, as much as wildfires will be controlled using the power shut-offs

approach, individual's lives will be interrupted; thus, the grid shut-off cannot be a resolution. Consequently, even though weighing the good and negative consequences of PG&E acts is simple, it is not easy to predict what will take place in future; thus, it is improper for the company to base their ethical decisions depending on what might happen in future.

Discussion

Even though utilitarianism is practical and emphasizes the outcomes of actions, the major weakness is that utilitarianism attempts to forecast the outcomes of actions, which is inconceivable. However, significant strengths like PG&E's decision to close the grid determine the value or eminence of the targeted result, which is the prevention of wildfires. Their consistent goal with consequentialism provides the notion that the morality of their actions is determined only by their consequences. PG&E's intended objective to safeguard property and life is also a natural good for humans. Similarly, the idea of welfarism is particularly essential in the company's efforts to stop wildfires because the wellbeing of both the residents and the environment is essential. However, the flaw in ideal utilitarianism is that it fails to recognize that individuals have distinct duties or commitments to others. As a result, while power outages may be used to suppress wildfires, people's lives would be interrupted; hence, power outages cannot be a solution. Additionally, while assessing the positive and bad implications of PG&E acts is straightforward, it is difficult to anticipate what will happen; therefore, it is inappropriate for the firm to base its ethical judgments on what might take place in future.

I believe that wildfire hazards may be reduced but not disregarded by power grid shut-offs because people's lives would be disrupted. Similarly, because of predicting what

will happen in the future due to PG&E's approach to solving the issue, I believe it is unethical for the company to base its ethical decisions on what might or might not happen in the future. Occasionally, instead of leaving when a wildfire come about, preemptive measures are most probable cause of action that should be taken.

Conclusion

Analyzing corporate accidents and controversies may give insights and raise concerns about substantial risk factors within an industry or a firm. In the case of PG&E, the company's dispute rating has raised serious worries for more than a decade, and wildfires have had an increasingly significant effect for many years. Emerging concerns, such as those caused by changing climatic circumstances, will need continual evaluation to understand how tangible repercussions may appear for businesses.

Kohn argues that federal, regional, and municipal governments are also responsible for native fuel burn, firefighting appropriations, the feasibility of wildfire routes and evacuation paths, browsing and trimming forest restrictions. Likewise, private assets owners must lessen fire hazards surrounding their residences, also be aware that wildfire situations in California have altered and threats have significantly risen. Insurance firms also must aid individuals after a fire, rather than attempting to minimize their reimbursements when people rely on their insurance for assistance. Finally, everyone must assist people in need, especially those who have been evacuated lost their houses, or needed other help. Extreme fire situations pose a hazard to all of us, and any of us might be harmed. Hence, we should all sympathize with and be ready to assist needy individuals, whether via acts of compassion or donations or simply by paying taxes, which partly supports the fire adaptation and mitigation strategies.

For the future of natural disaster mitigation, artificial intelligence can play a significant role in mitigating this issue using systems that evaluate satellite photographs to pinpoint locations prone to experiencing wildfires; thus, averting the disasters.

Works Cited

French, Christopher C. "*America on Fire: Climate Change, Wildfires & Insuring Natural Catastrophes*." UC Davis L. Rev. 54 (2020): 817.

Gold, Russell and Blunt, Katherine. *PG&E's Radical Plan to Prevent Wildfires: Shut down the Power Grid*, 2019, https://www.wsj.com/articles/pg-es-radical-plan-to-prevent-wildfires-shut-down-the-power-grid-11556337671.

Kohn, Elias. "*Mitigating PG&E's Wildfire Ignitions: A Framework for Environmental Resilience and Economic Stimulus*." Geo. Wash. J. Energy & Envtl. L. 12 (2021): 3.

Business_Ethics.edited_1_3.edited_1.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

44%	1%	0%	44%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Rutgers University, New Brunswick Student Paper	44%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		