

The bibliography was strong in many regards. It had a clear focus and the annotations were generally clear. I have some general observations: It is not clear what you are counting as primary sources and what you are counting as secondary sources. Organize the bibliography accordingly and give the two sections subheading. The bibliography leans on popular media sources and less on scholarly sources; the expectation was that the secondary sources would come from scholarly journals and academic presses (the Darity text is an example of that). Each section of the bibliography needs to be put in alphabetical order. It needs to make it clear that this is a historical study, even though you lean heavily on the work of economists and journalists. While there is overlap between those fields and history, it is not necessarily so. Thus, you'll have to make the case that the sources are relevant.