

DBA-701 Literature Review Paper

	0.0 No Submission	1.0 Novice	2.0 Basic	3.0 Proficient	4.0 Exceptional
1.0  CONTENT  Knowledge, Research Depth, and Critical Thinking Synthesis, Analysis, and Comprehension Organization, formulation, logic, and Identification of Key Points Grammar, Word Choice, and Terminology Demonstration of Theory Knowledge and Usage Composition and Punctuation Minimum of 5 references	[No Submission 0 Points]	[Section Points Possible < 45] Does not refer to the readings to support premises. Does not integrate personal observations or knowledge. Work product is disorganized, points of response is not distinct, and information is not logically sequenced. Theoretical terminology is ignored or misused. Word choice and sentence structure are not suitable for doctoral level work. Regular typographical, spelling, and punctuation errors that create an obstacle.	[Section Points Possible 45-49] Alludes to the readings in a general way to support premises. Integrates personal observations and knowledge in a cursory manner. Work product is somewhat disorganized, points of response is distinct, but information is not logically sequenced. Terminology partially matches the textbook and class material. Word choice and sentence structure need improvement. Periodic typographical, spelling, or punctuation errors create reading interruptions.	[Section Points Possible 50-56] Refers to examples or theories from the readings to support premises. Integrates personal observations and knowledge in an accurate way. Work product is organized, points of response are distinct, and information is logically sequenced. Terminology matches the textbook and class material completely. Word choice and sentence structure are suitable for doctoral level work. Minimal typographical, spelling, and punctuation errors.	[Section Points Possible 57-60] Supports premises with specific examples and theories, and applies high level critical thinking skills. Integrates personal observations and knowledge in an accurate and highly insightful way. Work product is organized, points of response are distinct, and information is logically sequenced key points are made obvious. Terminology matches. Messages are crisp and persuasive. Word choice and sentence structure exceed expectations for doctoral level work. Errors are rare.
	0.0 No Submission	1.0 Novice	2.0 Basic	3.0 Proficient	4.0 Exceptional
1.0  USE OF MICROSOFT OFFICE  Proper use of Microsoft Office	[No Submission 0 Points]	[Section Points Possible < 7] Does not properly use Microsoft Office	[Section Points Possible 7] Somewhat properly uses Microsoft Office	[Section Points Possible 9] Mostly properly uses Microsoft Office	[Section Points Possible 10] Properly uses Microsoft Office
	0.0 No Submission	1.0 Novice	2.0 Basic	3.0 Proficient	4.0 Exceptional

1.0	? APA FORMAT i Proper use of APA Formatting Style including Appropriate in-text and reference page citations.	[No Submission 0 Points]	[Section Points Possible < 23] Very little use of references and citations and/or poor usage of APA style.	[Section Points Possible 23-24] Some use of references and citations and/or moderate usage of APA style.	[Section Points Possible 25-28] Good use of references and citations and/or reasonable usage of APA style.	[Section Points Possible 29-30] Superior use of references and citations and/or solid usage of APA style.
-----	--	--------------------------	--	---	--	---