

TRAIT: Points Possible	Unacceptable: will receive 0-29% of available points	Acceptable: will receive 30%-79% of available points	Exemplary: will receive 80%-100% of available points	Points Awarded
Case Issues: 10	Does not recognize a problem or mentions problems that are not based on facts of the case	Recognizes one or more key problems in the case.	Recognizes multiple problems in the case. Indicates some issues are more important than others and explains why	
Stakeholders: 10	Does not recognize the perspectives of any stakeholders in the case	Considers the perspectives of stakeholders who are related to the problems	Clearly describes the unique perspectives of multiple key stakeholders.	
Knowledge: 10	Simply repeats facts listed in case and does not discuss the relevance of these facts	Considers facts from the case and cites related knowledge from theoretical or empirical research	Discusses facts of the case in relation to empirical and theoretical research and add knowledge from personal experience	
Understanding of Topic and Related Course Material: 15	Demonstrates little or no understanding of topic and makes no ties to course material	Moves beyond surface understanding; Demonstrates facility with topical and disciplinary knowledge and makes some ties to the course material	Demonstrates disciplinary understanding and interconnections; makes links that suggest discovery of new information or new ways of relaying information and incorporates the course material clearly into the case answers	
Proposed Actions and Consequences: 10	No action proposed or proposes infeasible action(s) and/or consequences	More than one reasonable action proposed and positive/negative consequences for each action are discussed	Proposed actions seem to deal with the most important issues and consequences from the issues discussed	
Organization and Development: 10	Inadequate organization and/or development	Connects ideas within document and to other sources and ideas; Points are logically developed and flow logically from one idea to the next	Effective organization contributes to full development of written presentation	
Support for ideas: 15	Inappropriate or insufficient details to support argument. No outside sources incorporated	Advances argument with sound evidence and references. Includes some outside sources and makes somewhat logical connections with those sources.	Expertly advances argument with well-researched evidence and documentation. Multiple outside sources are included and logical connections are clear.	
Use of Grammar: 10	Multiple errors in grammar, sentence structure or spelling	Uses correct grammar, sentence structure and spelling throughout document	Readability enhanced by facility in language use, range of diction and syntactic variety	
Use of APA/MLA Citations: 10	Little to no citation included	Citations included, but not properly formatted	Contains citations that are correctly formatted in accordance with APA/MLA	
Total Points:				