Objective: Analyze a painting by Jacques-Louis David to identify its theme.

This work is a bit more cryptic than Gustave Caillebotte’s *Paris Street; Rainy Day*. The viewer’s first response to Jacques-Louis David’s painting might be, “Who are these people and what are they doing?” But once the identity of the subject is established, both works are revealed to touch on relatable themes.

Use the images and information provided to answer the questions below.
This painting is set in a Roman hall. On the left side are three Roman soldiers. The man closest to the viewer is holding a spear upright in his left hand. The man in the middle has his arm around the waist of the man holding the spear. All three soldiers are wearing sandals, short tunics, capes, and helmets. They are reaching toward swords being held by an older man who is centered in the painting. He is wearing sandals, a short tunic, and a cape. Behind the older man, three women are sitting on benches. One woman is comforting two small children. The other two women are comforting each other.

Click to enlarge
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Jacques-Louis David

**Oath of the Horatii, 1784**

Era/Culture/Movement: Neoclassicism

Medium: Oil on canvas

Dimensions: 10’ 8” x 13’ 9”

Location: Louvre Museum, Paris
Three brothers of the Horatius family swear an oath to their father that they will fight to the death to defend the city of Rome.

Jacques-Louis David’s *Oath of the Horatii* captures a moment of dramatic climax, an act from which there could be no return. Three brothers of the Horatius family swear an oath to their father that they will fight to the death to defend the city of Rome. To demonstrate their allegiance, the brothers step forward, in unison, and raise their arms in salute to the swords held in the air by their father.

The Horatii will battle against three brothers of the Curiatius family from the neighboring city of Alba Longa. Around 669 BCE, war broke out between Alba Longa and Rome. Rather than having their full armies wage battle and potentially leave both cities weak and vulnerable to other enemies, the opposing leaders agreed to settle their dispute by sending out three warriors apiece. The last man standing alive—and the city he represented—would be the victor. While two of the Horatii were killed in the fight, the remaining brother won the victory for Rome.

At the right side of the painting (David organized his composition to be viewed from left to right), the mother takes consolation in her grandchildren. The young boy looks on stoically as his father takes the oath. At the far right, two young women are forlorn. Their slumping posture is a contrast to the stiff resolve of the brothers, and David uses the pose of the woman at the far right to redirect the viewer toward the center of the composition. These women represent the family ties that connect the Horatii and Curiatii. One of the women is a Curiatius daughter, now married to a Horatius. She is united in grief with a Horatius daughter who is a betrothed to a Curiatius. For these women, any outcome will mean the loss of a loved one.

The stoic poses of the brothers and the mournful poses of the women illustrate a contrast of motivations between the honor of civic patriotism and the emotions of personal attachment. One of the criticisms of this painting, and of David’s art more broadly, is that he often depicted men as heroic and rational but women as passive and emotional. (He did, however, sometimes paint heroic women, most notably in his 1799 *The Intervention of the Sabine Women.*

On the basis of this painting, and others like it, David became the leading proponent of the neoclassical method. Inspired in part by the Enlightenment, this method was characterized by a clinical precision of depiction (clarity of form equaled clarity of thought) achieved through the careful delineation of every form. This exacting detail is particularly applied to the human—especially the male—body. Without taking anything away from David’s effective employment of color, such as his strong use of red to
visually unite parts of the composition, the colors are applied very deliberately within a prearranged design.

Although he was a French painter, David created this 1784 work in Rome, and the frieze-like arrangement of overlapping figures evidences a classical-inspired visual language. Given the number of figures in the painting and the dramatic action of some of them, David’s composition is remarkably flat. All of the figures occupy a narrow space, between the implied space of the viewer and the architectural background. This horizontal flatness could be read like a classical frieze. Many buildings and monuments of ancient Greece and Rome were decorated with carved sculptural figures, often engaged in heroic activity. Because of the material and placement of these frieze sculptures, they employed almost no depth of space. Instead, the compressed action unfolded horizontally by means of overlapping figures. In employing a similar method, even though painting would have allowed him to depict depth of pictorial space, David asserts his own artistic allegiance.

While the subject is based on a history of classical antiquity, David could have expected his viewers to be familiar with this story. However, the scene of oath-taking that David depicts was actually his own invention. Despite the complicated nature of the narrative (even the description above is simplified), David is able to capture the moral essence of the story in single moment with clarity and immediacy. While the layers of the painting unfold over repeated viewings, the image also has an instantaneous impact. This visual articulation of virtuous sacrifice is achieved both through scale and through clarity of form. At nearly 11 feet by 14 feet, it is an imposing painting, amplified in particular by the severity of the figures and their poses.

Rather than showing the battle itself as a physical contest, David focuses on the will to fight as a moral choice. As such, the painting engages the viewer with the same choice of placing patriotic resolve above personal or even family loyalties. David visualizes this choice, for example, through the way in which the feet of the Horatii, as they step forward to take the oath, cross a line on the floor created by the design of the pavement.

When Oath of the Horatii was first exhibited in Paris, it was received with great admiration, even by the King of France, Louis XVI. Although it depicted a subject from antiquity, David’s contemporary viewers interpreted the painting as visualizing an absolute and single-minded obedience to the state (and the king). Today, David’s 1784 painting’s expression of patriotism, sacrifice, loyalty, and solidarity is generally interpreted as a premonition of the French Revolution, which commenced in 1789, and in which David actively participated.
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Short-Answer Question

How does the exacting precision of Jacques-Louis David’s composition visually reinforce the narrative of the Horatii brothers’ patriotic resolve?

The power that artist is displayed in the painting

Submitted a day ago.

This clarity of visual form suggests a corresponding clarity of mind and conscience in the brothers’ determination to act. They are each making a firm oath to fight to the death for their city-state. Conversely, a lack of clarity in form, what we call expressive
gesture (as seen in Vincent van Gogh’s *Starry Night*, for example), suggests a heightened state of emotion.

Short-Answer Question

**How does David employ the architectural background to visually organize the unfolding (left to right) drama?**

From left to right the

Last change saved about 21 hours ago.

Short-Answer Question

**How does David’s composition focus the viewer’s eye on the swords?**

No response saved yet.

Short-Answer Question

**How does David’s treatment of the men and women reflect late 18th-century perceptions of gender differences?**

No response saved yet.

- Response Board
  Can you think of a more recent example of an image or work of art that has been created or employed to stir patriotism?

  No response saved yet.

Short-Answer Question

**Imagery designed to call for war and revolution often doesn’t depict actual conflict; instead, it tends to focus on more abstract concepts, such as love of country. Why is this?**

No response saved yet.
Short-Answer Question

How can historical events, even events that occur after the work of art was created, potentially shape interpretations of work of art?

No response saved yet.