Unfair_Labor_Practice.edited.edited.docx

by

Submission date: 12-Nov-2021 08:36AM (UTC-0600)
Submission ID: 1700772810
File name: Unfair_Labor_Practice.edited.edited.docx (15.28K)
Word count: 1226
Character count: 6747

Unfair Labor Practice

Name:

Institution:

Course:

Instructor:

Date:

Unfair Labor Practice

Part 1

The Appeals court granted its judgment in Novelis Corp. et al. v. NLRB, et al. on 15th March 2018, preserving the NLRB findings on some labor violations against Novelis Corp. The court, however, curtailed the National Labor Relations Board's attempts to order a Gissel negotiating action against the organization caused by the passage of time and current conditions (DeMaria, 2018). Workers at Novelis Corp.'s Oswego, New York facility were informed in December 2013 that they would no longer get Sunday incentive pay and that vacation and paid holidays would no longer matter in overtime entitlement. As a result, a group of workers started an organized labor effort, and a majority of eligible workers signed union authorization papers. A vote was needed to decide the matter. Novelis reinstated Sunday and vacation pay in early January 2014 after denying the union's petition for optional membership.

While trying to stop personnel from organizing, the business informed them that Novelis' unionized facility in Quebec had shut, whereas its non-unionized unit in Oswego thrived. Union membership, according to the firm, would result in a loss of trade. By a majority of 287 to 273, Novelis won the February 2014 poll by a razor-thin margin, making the union formation unsuccessful. Everett Abare, a pro-union staffer, published a rude message on Facebook after the vote, arguing about his salary and attacking others who chose not to vote for the group. Novelis retaliated by demoting Abare (DeMaria, 2018). Novelis was found liable for various labor violations by Administrative Law Judge Michael A. Rosas after a trial. By reinstating Sunday and vacation pay, eliminating union material, questioning personnel, and barring staff members from donning the union regalia, the firm acted contrary to section 8(a) (1) of the NLRA. Novelis

allegedly intimidated workers with income loss, factory shutdown, and more challenging work conditions if they joined a union.

Finally, the judge determined that by demoting Abare following his Facebook comment, Novelis had breached Sections 8(a) (1) and 8(a) (3). The judge suggested several types of relief, including a Gissel negotiating order since "conventional measures would be inadequate to mitigate the burden substantially sustained by relevant unit employees." Novelis submitted exclusions with the National Labor Relations Board, stating that the negotiating order was no longer applicable due to central personnel and managerial turnover ever since purported labor violations. Despite more than two years, the Board adopted the court's ruling and declined to review the case in August 2016. The Board explicitly said that it "does not regard turnover amongst collective agreement workers or managerial personnel, nor duration, in evaluating if a Gissel (negotiated) directive is warranted."

The court grounded its conclusion on the U.S. Supreme court verdict in NLRB v. Gissel Packing Co., 395 U.S. 575 (1969). The apex court found that exceptionally egregious NLRA breaches can warrant an order ordering an employer to deal with a group that did not win a unionizing effort (DeMaria, 2018). Conversely, the court has "continually concluded" that negotiating agreements is a unique solution that should be used solely when other options, such as a secret vote rerun poll, have failed to eradicate the consequences of the employer's previous labor violations. As a result, workers should not be forced to join unions if they have the option to do so of their own volition. According to the Court, the Board failed to consider unique situations while deciding whether to hold a repeat poll.

The judge also overruled the Board's assertion that turnover and the passing of time ought not to be considered in evaluating if a negotiating directive is warranted. Indeed, the Board must

assess essential details when the negotiating arrangement is issued, not when the election occurs. Many vital issues led the court to conclude that a negotiating agreement was not an equitable option: Novelis has taken multiple remedial measures since engaging in labor violations. Two years had elapsed between the ballot and the Board's judgment, and a "sizable lapse of time reflects poorly" as to whether a majority of staff would want to form unions. Three, the Board neglected to consider substantial staff turnover ever since the ballot. Four, the Board overlooked significant leadership turnover. Thus, imposing unionization without determining that a fresh, impartial poll more than three years after the infractions were not feasibly practicable.

Part 2

Granström (2020) suggests that local union representatives are crucial since they are the union's spine. Without worker involvement, there would be no one to function as a link between membership, employers, and unions leadership at the national level and no one to mobilize, advocate, and bargain on behalf of union members. Recruiting people into the union, managing them around work-related issues, and speaking to representatives about work-related topics are also roles. They also advise workers and keep them up to date on the new updates from the head office, representing members who are experiencing trouble, branch work, and more extensive trade is what they do. Even so, Granström (2020) argues that not all local union officials have the same tasks inside the union leadership due to the nature of the industry and regional structure. For example, not every agent is engaged in workplace disciplinary actions, even if they all care about the outcome of individual representation proceedings. Although all union representatives will speak with supervisors and managers regularly, not all union leaders will be deeply invested in face-to-face discussions over essential topics. A local union representative links local members, employers, and the national union leadership.

According to Briffa (2018), a shop steward is a union representative at the company level. They have a light-duty of balancing the company's wishes and the needs of the union members, who are colleagues. The steward's obligations are to the union and its members. A shop steward serves as a link and arbitrator in the workplace as a consultant to unions and management. Briffa (2018) suggests that they should have a thorough awareness of the union contract that governs the employees' working conditions. When an employee files a complaint with the unions under the employment terms, the shop steward is the first to comment and mediate the problem with the administration. A shop steward not only assists management in resolving labor-management disputes, but he also serves as the labor group's local spokesperson. They inform members about union events and bylaws.

A shop steward also organizes the consultative forums. He is responsible for creating the agenda items and conducting the meeting by it. A union representative works with the government and other stakeholders to advocate for workers' welfare at the national level. They do so in collaboration with other international labor unions, meet and review their achievements. At this level, the national union leader enjoys immense powers and can champion better legislation and the welfare of union members. Their role at the national level differs from that of a shop steward and local representative because they influence policy changes at the federal level, legislation, and they are representatives of unionized workers countrywide.

References

Briffa, M. (2018). *The GWU shop steward/representatives' challenges to recruit new members* (Master's thesis, University of Malta).

DeMaria, A. T. (2018). Restrictions on Employee Committees. *Management Report for Nonunion Organizations*, *41*(8), 3-4.

Granström, J. (2020). Are labor union representatives' agents or stewards? An exploratory study regarding the governance of labor unions.

Unfair_Labor_Practice.edited.edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1%	1%	1%	0%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	supreme.nolo.com Internet Source	1%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		