

cam_response.edited.docx

by

Submission date: 23-Sep-2021 04:31AM (UTC-0600)

Submission ID: 1655484655

File name: cam_response.edited.docx (17.11K)

Word count: 208

Character count: 1187

Response

I agree the CAM and pain article provides a significant discussion concerning using different CAM therapies in primary care on patients using opioid method therapy to treat chronic pain. Your post has also explained the use and effectiveness of several CAM therapies such as prolotherapy, massage, acupuncture, herbs, and chiropractic manipulation which is recommendable. Consequently, the alternative medicine is tested from chronic pain individuals obtaining opioid treatment because it has substantial side effects such as addiction and mood changes. Also, I agree that CAM is a method of treating common chronic pain syndromes with its therapy; however, it has several risk factors and benefits. For instance, patients are given control of their treatment decisions and recovery and make them feel comfortable. The body always heals itself when given suitable tools and inspiration to aid any person with chronic.

Similarly, I agree that acupuncture is the most commonly used therapy to enhance a patient's condition. At the same time, prolotherapy is given to individuals through an injection treating musculoskeletal pain. Moreover, I consent you discussing prolotherapy's effectiveness in treating musculoskeletal pain using research. The sources states that the therapy has been used for over eighty years to relieve stress and pain from the joints, tendons, and other muscles.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

0%

SIMILARITY INDEX

0%

INTERNET SOURCES

0%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography Off

Exclude matches Off