

CCCVSX

by Dd Dd

Submission date: 24-Jul-2021 07:30PM (UTC-0400)

Submission ID: 1623562428

File name: ase_of_Truman_Deciding_to_Drop_Atomic_Bombs_on_Japan.edited.docx (23.31K)

Word count: 2919

Character count: 16323

1
The Case of Truman Deciding to Drop Atomic Bombs on Japan

Name:
Institution:
Course:
Instructor:
Date:

1 The Case of Truman Deciding to Drop Atomic Bombs on Japan

The American Government was planning to invade Japan when President Truman sanctioned the adoption of the nuclear weapon. The Japanese forces' viciousness and self-destructive defensive systems had demonstrated to American decision-makers that an ostensibly beaten adversary had plenty of combat in it. Top military and civilian representatives provided Truman with many alternatives for forcing Japan's surrender. The choices available included toughening the trade embargo and airstrikes of Japan, incursion, a mutually agreed ceasefire, and, once functional, the nuclear weapon became an option (Truman Library, n.d.). Truman obtained suggestions, counsel, and propositions from non-combatant and military generals during the first two months in office following President Theodore Roosevelt's death. Truman authorized the invasion of Japan after consulting with the top military leaders to consider the various alternatives. The exceptionally high casualty forecasts presented by the military commanders, notwithstanding, was still a matter of unease for Truman, particularly in the aftermath of the fierce wars on Okinawa and Iwo. The projections influenced Truman's verdict regarding embracing the novel atomic bomb toward Japan and conclude the conflict before additional American soldiers perished in vain. According to Sagan and Valentino (2017), the resolution to use the nuclear weapon on the Japanese was solely President Truman's. Most of the other leaders presented different approaches and suggestions, considering the happenings that influenced the brutality of the Pacific War. At no point did the president take feedback on whether or not to use the nuclear armament (Sagan & Valentino, 2017). Naysayers and top generals criticized his choice after the conflict ended. Truman's decision is still a point of conflict between many academics today, and it will be for the foreseeable future.

The American Government released the nuclear armament on the metropolis of Hiroshima on the 6th of August, 1945. Hiroshima was followed three days later by a nuclear bomb attack on Nagasaki. After more than four existences of ferocious combat with the U.S., the Japanese armed forces agreed to surrender, sensing unfathomable power and assured decimation. President Harry S. Truman's choice to sanction the novel hydrogen bomb saved the American Government from an estimated 250,000 fatalities during the Japanese invasion. Furthermore, Truman's pronouncement saved potentially lots of Japanese troops and residents from a gory battle. The apprehension that Germany was constructing its atomic warhead was the impetus for America's nuclear arsenal program. German physicists were deeply involved in the nuclear analysis. However, they were still incapable of identifying the proper quantity of uranium to ensure reactivity and could not deliver sufficient fissionable machinery to make a nuclear weapon. After Germany's surrender in 1945 that the U.S. discover that German physicists were still miles away from constructing a nuclear arsenal. In 1943, Japan's researchers discovered that the advancement of a nuclear weapon was feasible, and Prime Minister Hideki Tojo provided them with unrestricted funding and support. However, in April 1945, an air force attack on Tokyo decimated the inquiry centers, effectively ending Japan's initiatives to advance nuclear weapons. Just a few top military and non-combatant representatives were aware of the nuclear weapons initiative when President Roosevelt passed away in April 1945. Truman only found out more about the venture after coming to power. Following that, the Secretary of War, Henry Stimson, and James F. Byrnes, President Truman's private adviser for nuclear issues, kept the newly sworn-in head of state up to date on the exploration and innovation behind the nuclear weapon. It was up to the commander in chief to decide exactly how to halt the Pacific confrontation best. Whereas physicists accomplished unlimited success in creating the hydrogen bomb, it stayed untried. According to Sagan and Valentino (2017), it had little trustworthiness as

a way of accomplishing a Japanese laying down of arms in the mid-spring of 1945. Towards mid-1945, American soldiers had driven the once-triumphant grand forces out of the country. The Imperial Japanese war machine was also making its way around the Pacific Ocean forward into Japan. With no offensive capacities left, Japan was doomed to defeat. On the other hand, Japanese bases refused to flee or give up and continued fighting despite stronger opponents in many islands. In some campaigns, U.S. soldiers had to wipe out entire Japanese fortresses to win.

As per Yamaguchi et al. (2019), Japanese defense used prior combat interactions to master their suicide-related defensive capabilities and strategies, giving American organizers a terrifying idea of the scope during a Japanese invasion. The Japanese dispatched a huge contingent of fighters and non-combatants into ready defensive lines in southern parts of Kyushu after correctly identifying the landing zones that the Americans intended to use. The ostensibly beaten Japanese arranged to meet the United States invading army head-on and with appropriate pressure. The nonmilitary and army consultants provided Truman with many choices for forcing Japan's surrender terms. These alternatives encompass toughening the blockade in tandem with airstrikes of the Empire of Japan, a double incursion of Japan, and a bargained concession that would reevaluate the surrender terms requirements while ensuring the security of the Japanese Imperial family. Unfortunately, Truman's experts failed to concur about the duration it takes for any alternatives to stop the conflict. Truman authorized the action plan for the Japanese invasion after having heard all of the joint military chief's opinions and forecasted death tolls. The estimated 250,000 American casualties during the encroachment influenced Truman's choice to use the nuclear weapon against Japan. On the 16th of July, 1945, America successfully tested the nuclear bomb in distant New Mexico. Truman had an option in the wilderness that could have prevented an incursion and save thousands of lives. As Truman completed his verdict to drop the nuclear warhead, the preparation and planning for a Japanese invasion persisted. President Truman's decision to sanction the hydrogen weapon against the Japanese Forces was not an obvious one. Secretary of War Stimson revealed an ultimate ingredient that can stop the conflict the day he took the oath of office. Stimson was the one in charge of the S-1 atomic weapon venture. As the second in command, Truman was not kept up to date on current military activities and was oblivious that the American Government was building nuclear armaments. That transformed on the 24th of April, 1945, after Stimson and the program manager, General Groves, informed the new administration on the advancement of nuclear technology and the domestic and global concerns that the United States might encounter.

Although President Truman reached the final verdict to sanction the nuclear weapon attack targeting Japan, Yamaguchi et al. (2019) argue that he did not do so without consulting his advisors. When he came into power, high-ranking civilian and military aides linked with the venture alerted him on the weapon's capacities and made useful suggestions on using it. Even so, all of Truman's assistants' suggestions were based on speculation because no one knew if the weapon will even function. Following a successful nuclear bomb test, Truman launched the quest to utilize the very first nuclear bomb ever used against another nation, with advice from his consultants. Truman recommended using the atomic weapon on pre-determined targets, and on the 24th of July, 1945, the United States military bombed Japanese cities. The preceding day, the president issued instructions approving the use of the munitions. America and its cronies, the United Kingdom and Nationalist China supplied the Potsdam affirmation to the Japanese regime. President Truman was well conscious of the vicious power of this top-secret apparatus and the international and regional ramifications that could result if the president revealed the plan. Nevertheless, Truman received suggestions, guidance, generalizations, forecasts, and choices

from his army and civilian advisors for the previous three months on using the nuclear bomb (Yamaguchi et al., 2019).

Nevertheless, after all these consultations, Truman could not ignore the large death toll that the United States had already suffered during the battle. A projected quarter million more fatalities were also anticipated during Japan's spread. Despite the helpless war scenario, the Japanese regime persisted in fighting and preparing for the expected American offensive (National Park Service, 2017). According to Pike (2016), President Truman's biggest worry was still the multitude of American fatalities. Throughout each operation, Japan did continue to fight to the death, imposing heavy losses on their American aggressors. The fierce wars at Iwo Jima and Okinawa showed the head of state and additional governments whatever to anticipate in the Japanese occupation of the Island Nation.

Succeeding the events of Okinawa, formal Japan's death toll was in hundreds of thousands, with only around ten thousand captives generally badly hurt. There were 11,933 deaths and 39,119 injuries among U.S. troops. Casualty figures for the United States, including the results of the huge proportion of kamikaze assaults targeting the United States navy machinery that strengthened Japan's resolve to die fighting. After three years of military conflict, Iodice (2021) suggests that President Truman recognized that the American people and legislative representatives would not tolerate the fatalities incurred at Iwo Jima and Okinawa and would seek clarifications. Whereas the United States anticipated the invasion to result in an increased death toll, Truman's decision to approve the use the nuclear weapon rather than an assault avoided the necessity to expend the lives of hundreds of thousands of troops. After Germany surrendered on the 8th of May, 1945, the president and top army officials received backlash from the public and Legislature to retire loads of military personnel stationed in Europe. A partial relocation and evacuation of European forces were expected by 72 percent of the population. The battle was partially over for America, and Truman did remind the citizenry of the ultimate goal in front of them: Japan's unilateral admission of defeat. In a live feed to the public publicizing Germany's capitulation, Truman urged every American to remain at his position until the United States won the final War. Military commanders had to transport many soldiers from Europe to the Pacific and withdraw two million ground forces to be ready for OPERATION DOWNFALL (Mills, 2018). The military intended to send fifteen units and 23 air entities to the Pacific region. Instead, it returned additional twenty-one segments to the country as a standby force while leaving a further 400,000 invasion ground forces in war-torn Europe.

Whereas the American people anticipated a selective withdrawal of troops of forces following Germany's concession, a significant proportion of the U.S. populace blamed Emperor Hirohito for the Pacific war. In a survey conducted in May 1945, thirty-three percent endorsed the Emperor's killing; seventeen percent wished him tried, eleven percent wanted him imprisoned, nine percent considered exile, and eleven percent approved of him as a head of state (Sagan & Valentino, 2017). The president reiterated this sentiment in his speech to Congress on the 1st of June, in which he insisted on Japan's total surrender, which received praise from Congress. Based on the successful trial of the nuclear weapon, Truman now had a further alternative that could save numerous millions of lives and cut the armed conflict brief. He did not get this choice when he met with the Joint Chiefs on the 18th of June to authorize their proposal for a Japanese invasion. Truman always had the choice of abandoning the nuclear weapon and proceeding with the infiltration. He was, nevertheless, aware of the social and populace repercussions of his verdict. First, the United States citizenry would seek explanations and personal responsibility for the unnecessary forfeiture of human life in the Japanese raid, which

was preventable with a stealthy nuclear weapon. Furthermore, the army and government would clarify why the country expended two billion public funds on armament exploration with no armed conflict usage. To help in his final considerations and verdict, the existence of the nuclear warhead presented President Truman with the solitary method of ending the War swiftly and with the fewest American casualties (National park Service, 2017). Truman, like Roosevelt, never strongly contemplated or taken advice from his management team to not use the nuclear bomb. Some of Truman's aides and leading scientists in the atom bomb research work did believe the global community would suffer if American forces bombed Japan shortly after the conflict. Nevertheless, According to Mills (2018), Truman's main priority was not how his verdict would affect international affairs before the Japanese surrender but how to avoid additional Death toll. In his 1955 discussion with William Hillman and Morton Royce, President Truman suggested that In terms of the nuclear device, he ordered its use for combat reasons and not any other purpose, and it avoided the loss of many American troops and citizens.

On the 26th of July, Truman affirmed the Potsdam Declaration to the Japanese army with Winston Churchill and Generalissimo Chaing Kai-shek. The shared proclamation urged Japan to assert their unconditional surrender of all Japanese military services and provide a suitable and effective guarantee of their earnestness in such activity. The choice for Japan is complete and immediate devastation. The decree did not acknowledge the definition of "absolute destruction" or that the U.S. owned a device capable of destroying an entire metropolis. More notably, it did not refer to the Emperor's destiny. By dismissing the Allied surrender requirement, Japan chose to take their fight-till-demise tactic, subjecting the locals to "absolute destruction." After open criticism of the Japanese proclamation, Truman gave full approval for the nuclear bomb. On the 31st of July, 1945, at 7:48 a.m., he penned the command to execute the mission. "Suggestion approved," claimed the text. "Discharge as quickly as possible but no later than the 2nd of August." After six days, the American Government dumped the initial nuclear arsenal on the city of Hiroshima. There were no discussions about dumping the second nuclear device; General Spaatz's mandate on the 25th of July permitted him to resume dropping bombs in Japan. Following the first nuclear bomb, the American Government dumped untold numbers of pamphlets on Japanese towns in an attempt to limit civilian deaths as part of a psychosocial attempt to coerce an unconditional submission. These fliers explained how Japan continues to refuse to surrender and how this type of weapon will destroy Japan. The leaflets also instructed Japanese citizenry to evacuate their cities.

To sum it up, President Truman's verdict to launch the nuclear explosive on Hiroshima and the town of Nagasaki was the biggest and most powerful decision he made for the duration of the Pacific War. The terror unleashed on Hiroshima and Nagasaki compelled Japan to admission of defeat. Thus, the conflict concluded few weeks to the planned land assault of Japan, potentially saving quarter-million Americans from demise. Truman's ultimate verdict, nevertheless, came only after debating and weighing all of the United States' options for pressuring the Japanese Forces to surrender with his high-ranking civilian and military officials. Japan's surrender was not in doubt. Even so, the duration of the military conflict and its potential consequences were major concerns. The Japanese hostile abilities had vanished by the time President Truman came into power in April 1945. The large percentage of Japan's Imperial Forces was either at the lower part of the Pacific Ocean or rooted in secluded Japanese seaports due to a lack of fuel. Because of American air defense, the Japanese were left with a restricted range of outdated planes and inexperienced flight crews. On the other hand, Japan possessed massive land troops that protected the Chinese territory and made preparations for the major

fight on the coastlines. The Japanese used their understanding from previous battles to prepare for the Invasion force. Japanese decision-makers pinpointed the touchdown regions that the enemy intended to inhabit and built their defenses in those crucial sectors. The conflict strategy called for suicide squads to target affiliated convoy transit before they reached the coast. When onshore, the American troops would bear the complete power of Japan's military and an antagonistic populace that refused to surrender. The nuclear bombardment of Hiroshima and Nagasaki compelled the Japanese to surrender, averting an attack that would have slaughtered millions of Americans and potentially saved Japan's destruction. Truman had reasonable alternatives that could have ultimately forced Japan's admission of defeat. To the president, the price of losing Americans was excessively high to bear when the country had the mechanism to stop the conflict. His decision is still regarded as one of the most contentious of the modern period.

References

- Iodice, E. F. (2021). The Commander in Chief: The Fateful Decision of an American President. *The Journal of Values-Based Leadership*, 14(2), 13.
- Mills, W. D. (2018). DM Giangreco. Hell to Pay: Operation Downfall and the Invasion of Japan, 1945- Annapolis, MD: Naval Institute Press, 2017. *Journal of Military and Strategic Studies*, 19(1).
- National Park Service. (2017, October 25). *Harry S Truman's decision to use the atomic bomb (U.S. National Park Service)*. NPS.gov (U.S. National Park Service). <https://www.nps.gov/articles/trumanatomicbomb.htm>
- Pike, F. (2016). The development of a death cult in 1930s Japan and the decision to drop the atom bomb. *Asian Affairs*, 47(1), 1-31.
- Sagan, S. D., & Valentino, B. A. (2017). Revisiting Hiroshima in Iran: What Americans really think about using nuclear weapons and killing noncombatants. *International Security*, 42(1), 41-79.
- Truman Library. (n.d.). *Decision to drop the atomic bomb*. Welcome to the Harry S. Truman Presidential Library & Museum | Harry S. Truman. <https://www.trumanlibrary.gov/education/presidential-inquiries/decision-drop-atomic-bomb>
- Yamaguchi, H., Yoshida, F., & Compel, R. (2019). Can the Atomic Bombings on Japan Be Justified? A Conversation with Dr. Tsuyoshi Hasegawa. *Journal for Peace and Nuclear Disarmament*, 2(1), 19-33.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1 %	0 %	0 %	1 %
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Colorado School of Mines	1 %
	Student Paper	

-
- | | | | |
|----------------------|----|-----------------|-----|
| Exclude quotes | On | Exclude matches | Off |
| Exclude bibliography | On | | |