federal_law.edited_1.docx

by

Submission date: 04-Nov-2021 05:55AM (UTC-0500)
Submission ID: 1692839598
File name: federal_law.edited_1.docx (32.97K)
Word count: 1676
Character count: 9529

Immigration Federal Laws

Name

Institution

Instructor

Course

Date

Immigration Federal Laws

The Refugee Act

The act was established to provide a proper way of dealing with the refugees. Also, the act granted the refugees permission to go to the United States if they faced oppression in their home countries through the application process, which could be accepted or denied. However, this act changed the meaning of a refugee to one who is afraid of victimization. The law states that refugees are different from immigrants. Nonetheless, this act allowed only a few refugees in the country. Park (2010) noted that the state was searching for women of Asian and Latino background, especially if they have kids. The same source added that women between 20-45 years were also looked at and were asked several questions to know if they had kids within a specific time and request them to state an individual that paid for it. The law targeted only the poor immigrants because they were asked other questions such as the type of insurance used and the physician.

Moreover, the act ensures that the advocate can utilize both the Latino and Asian immigrant establishments to offer an authoritative and a different description that creates the nation's accountability and legitimacy in its association with the immigrants. Nevertheless, Park (2010) claimed that regardless of the termination of specific Port of Entry Detection (PED) programs. Therefore, it suggests a more incredible social spectacle with a long past source in the country's national opinion and the latest manifestation of classed, gendered, and racial instruments of immigrant illegalization.

President Jimmy Carter passed the act. Also, the law modified the immigration and nationality act and the migration and refugee assistance act. Both the Congress and the president

supported the law because it offered clear and even provisions for the operative relocation and preoccupation of those self-proclaimed refugees. Also, the primary intention of the law was to develop a new explanation of refugees founded on the one established at the United Nations convention and procedure on the position of immigrants and offer emergency process when the number is more than the set number of fifty thousand.

Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrants Responsibility Act (IIRIRA)

The act ensured tight security along the United States boundaries, including California and San Diego. The security was tightened by employing law enforcement officers to guard borders and also fence the boundaries. Anyone that violated such rules was imposed heavy fines or jailed. Furthermore, the act ensured that only the legal individuals were allowed, and those that were illegal were deported back to their countries. The law enhances the participation of immigrants in the criminal justice system. Most immigrants are poor individuals and are most likely to be involved in illegal activities as survival tactics.

IIRA has enhanced the involvement of immigrants in the criminal justice system. One of the ways is to increase the unlawful grounds of deportation. The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA) extended the types of crimes recognized as misconducts of decent turpitude and as serious crimes comprising some misdemeanors (Macías-Rojas, 2018). Another way is to approve finances to categorize and realize criminal implementation urgencies using a countrywide fingerprinting of detained aliens and a yearly report on unlawful extraterrestrials. Similarly, several low-class workers in the drug industry were between the new objectives, including the low-income Mexican, Cuban, and Jamaican trapped in the drug fights.

Moreover, IIRIRA stopped the prohibition at the boundary or ports of access and deportation from inside under a solitary division and recalled eliminating the immigrant's entrance to an unrestricted reprieve from the exclusion. Furthermore, the act allowed the recission of a lasting legal residency position, stating that an immigration judge provided a directive of elimination that would be adequate to withdraw the extraterrestrial standing (Macías-Rojas, 2018). Also, the act sanctioned official cooperative arrangements that straightforwardly involved the nation or local law implementation in the federal immigration application. Finally, IIRIRA progressed and passed between AEDPA to limit avenues for release from transportation and custody. Macías-Rojas (2018) claimed that this stopped foreigners the freedom to appear in front of the judge and reliably forced jurisdictive evaluation for settlers categorized as illegal extraterrestrials.

President Bill Clinton passed the act into law in 1996. The Congress and the president supported the rules because they aimed to hunt down the unlawful migration at the boundary, in companies and organizations, and the criminal justice scheme without affecting those staying in America legitimately. Furthermore, Congress approved the act sending the minor income to needs of one hundred and twenty-five percent over the poverty line for lawful promoters and making them accountable to support the immigrants until they are employed for ten years or become legal residents.

The Immigration Reform and Control Act

The act reiterated the necessities and then divided the H-2 into two different divisions. The first division, the H-2A, focused on agricultural employees. The second part is H-2B, which concentrates on the non-agricultural workforce, especially those in comparatively low-wage and are unskilled because there was a scarcity of American laborers (Park, 2013). Therefore, for the

country to prosper, the government needed to develop moral laws that would allow industries to have well-informed and skilled laborers; nevertheless, the less skilled workforce was also required to deal with the region's employees' scarcity. Individuals under H-2As were allowed to operate in agriculture and those in H-2Bs to toil n industries such as the slaughterhouse. Generally, Park (2013) claimed that the less skilled immigrants in H-2 comprise many participants who have to augment each year from twenty-five thousand to one hundred thousand between 1985 and 2001. The majority of the immigrants originate from Jamaica and Mexico.

Similarly, most individuals who should be H-2s are undocumented immigrants since the act amplified the edge requirements for workers requiring such impermanent employment. Park (2013) emphasized that the show tried to reorganize the process for obtaining the visas; agricultural workers and others have merely evaded the law irrespective of the new consequences in the regulation that focused on industries that eloquently employed undocumented immigrants. However, some companies have claimed that the value of the visas is not worth the distress. The administrative aggravations in getting labor certifications to indicate that the nonimmigrant individuals employed will not have adverse effects on domestic people, primarily because undocumented immigrants are accessible and ready to work.

Although the act had set the cap for the immigrants to enter the United States, Hwang & Parreñas (2010) claimed that by 2001 H-2Bs surpassed the sixty-six thousand individuals every year cap. Also, the people in H-2As and H-2Bs have already reached the one hundred thousand people getting access to the American economy. The act was made to be regulation by president Ronald Reagan. Both the president and members of Congress supported the show because they had reviewed and restructured the immigration laws based on the current issues. These industries will be needed to ask form 1-9 for every worker employed.

Immigration Act

The act altered all nonimmigrant visas associated with employment in America with a substantial impact on H-1. Park (2013) stressed that the immigration act reframed the H-1B to comprise immigrants operating in defense development and other jobs requiring special considerations. Therefore, individuals involved in either of the two accounts have never created a substantial number of H-1B entrances. Park (2013) explained that the jobs that require a unique field comprise the ones that need a theoretical and real-world utilization of a group of highly dedicated knowledge and those with a higher degree in their particular expertise.

Moreover, the part of nonimmigrant H-1B receivers enhanced significantly. Congress made it more transferable, arguing that the beneficiaries were lawful to receive new work after completing the potential industry of a new request in place of the nonimmigrant. Chapter 7 added that when H-1B, nonimmigrant files a petition for being a lasting resident by increasing their position to that of immigrants. Then they would appeal for an allowance of their nonimmigrant standing in one-year adjustment until the ultimate decision is stated on the immigrant's legitimate, lasting residence is requested. Also, Preston (2015) argues that Congress created the H-1B plan to aid its industries to employ immigrants with excellent services to work in existing positions which would help the businesses to expand. On the other hand, nations that did not provide excellent talent programs earlier are also included in finding talented people by developing specialized recruitment procedures that accomplish similar roles of enticing and retaining the individuals with plentiful human capital and skills (Shachar & Hirschl, 2013). For example, Canada is working to provide permanent legal positions to immigrants with a graduate degree or technology from the university because technological organizations need to augment the number of temporary H-1B visas (Louis, 2013). President George Bush signed the act into

law in 1990 and, together with the members of Congress, supported it because it was intended to transform the level, partiality structure for management of immigrants to America and offer administrative naturalization. Also, the act enhanced yearly limits on immigration through reviewing visa grouping restrictions and improving skilled labor immigration.

References

Chapter 7: Engineering the model minority

Hwang, M. C., & Parreñas, R. S. (2010). Not every family: Selective reunification in contemporary U.S. immigration laws. *International Labor and Working-Class History*, *78*(1), 100-109.

Louis, M. (2013). How Canada is winning the race in recruiting skilled immigrants while the U.S. lags behind. *Bloomberg News*.

Macías-Rojas, P. (2018). Immigration and the war on crime: Law and order politics and the illegal immigration reform and immigrant responsibility act of 1996. *Journal on Migration and Human Security*, *6*(1), 1-25.

Park, L. S. H. (2010). Challenging Public Charge Policy: Coalitional Immigrant Community Strategies. *Journal of Asian American Studies*, *13*(3), 371-387.

Park, E. J. (2013). "Temporary Workers" in American Law and Society Since 1990. In *Probationary Americans* (pp. 95-108). Routledge.

Preston, J. (2015). Large companies game H-1B visa program, and jobs leave the U.S. *The New York Times*.

Shachar, A., & Hirschl, R. (2013). Recruiting "Super Talent": The New World of Selective Migration Regimes. *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, *20*(1), 71-107.

federal_law.edited_1.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

1%	1%	1%	%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journals.sagepub.com Internet Source	1%

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		