

Opposing a Proposition of Policy Argument

I will be giving you a advocate policy argument paper base on animal testing. Please understand the assignment is : by reading the policy paper, ME needs to argue this policy topic as opposition, by choosing one of the strategy options and providing proof and evidence. **DO NOT CHANGE** the proposition. What other way can make “animal testing” better. No page limit as long as you explain the points.

Your strategy options to argue one section of the stock issues:

1. Refute the reason for change
2. Refute the policy proposed
3. Refute the consequences of the change proposed

Choose ONE of the top 3 to argue

Do not offer counter plans (that makes you an advocate!) Focus on only one of the stock issues.

Opposition Policy Argumentation Outline (Write like a speech outline)

Opening Statement: Say something interesting here to get our attention

Proposition: state the exact proposition here, do not change the proposition. (from the policy paper I provide)

Terms: Provide definitions, both dictionary and contextual to let us know what we are addressing in the proposition. Appropriately cite your sources. You may agree with your advocate's definitions.

Identify your oppositional strategy and create main points to sufficiently argue your opposition. Use the stock issues in the chosen area (need, plan or solvency) to ensure completeness of your main points depending on strategy chosen. Address all 4 of the sub points for the stock issue you are attacking. Include proof using research and evidence.

Use transitions between your points and make sure your main points are clear and concise.

Conclusion: remind us of your position and end with impact.

Use quality sources to build your argument. Minimum required quality sources for opposition are Definitions do not count toward your source count. (APA format source)