

Rubric: Final Project Presentation

Rubric: Final Project Presentation

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
		5 pts
		35 pts
		5 pts
		15 pts
		10 pts
Total Points: 70		

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome
Introduction
Introduces the subject of the presentation, indicating why it is important and previewing the key microeconomic points.

5 to >3.0 pts Excellent/ Good Fulfills all/most criterion	3 to >1.0 pts Satisfactory/Needs Improvement Introduction included, but does not provide clear main points of the presentation.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactor y No introduction included.
--	--	--

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome
Content
Substantial content included that has use of accurate economic concepts linking theory to the topic chosen and applying examples.
Analyzes the topic from an economic standpoint.

35 to >25.0 pts Excellent/ Good Fulfills all/most criterion	25 to >15.0 pts Satisfactory/Needs Improvement Minimal content to summarize and explain the topic is included with moderate use of economic concepts and examples. Economic analysis is moderately adequate.	15 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Content for the presentation is ineffective or missing or fails to meet the requirements of economic concepts included. Topic is not analyzed from an economic standpoint.
--	---	---

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome
Conclusion
Conclusion included linking the findings to the main questions addressed in the introduction.

5 to >3.0 pts Excellent/ Good Fulfills all/most criterion	3 to >1.0 pts Satisfactory/Needs Improvement Conclusion included, but does not provide an adequate summary or is ineffective.	1 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory No conclusion included.
--	--	---

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome
Organization
The presentation is well organized and develops a coherent point of view on the topic chosen. Presentation has been edited for flow of sentences, spelling and grammar with little to no errors.

15 to >10.0 pts Excellent/ Good Fulfills all/most criterion	10 to >4.0 pts Satisfactory/Needs Improvement Presentation needs some structural revision so that it flows in a unified, coherent manner. Presentation has not been edited adequately for flow of sentences, spelling and grammar errors.	4 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory Presentation is organized such that the ideas are incoherent. Presentation fails to meet college-level grammar, spelling and format use.
---	--	---

This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome
 References and Citations
 Expert sources with a minimum of 3 sources
 included are utilized to establish the content
 of the paper with excellent use of in-text
 citations as needed for quotes, statistics or
 facts provided or information that is directly
 from the reference. Reference page is
 completed correctly according to APA
 standards.

10 to >7.0 pts Excellent/ Good Fulfills all/most criterion	7 to >3.0 pts Satisfactory/Needs Improvement Less than the minimum number of expert sources are utilized with poor use of in-text citations as needed for quotes, statistics or facts provided or information that is directly from the reference. Reference page is somewhat completed according to APA standards.	3 to >0 pts Unsatisfactory None to few sources utilized. Many in-text citations and/or Reference entries are missing or seriously deviate from citation guidelines.
--	--	---