

Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 22-Apr-2021 6:01 AM MDT
 ID: 1566530652
 Word Count: 2713
 Submitted: 1

Cj By Dd Ss

Similarity Index	Similarity by Source
0%	Internet Sources: 0% Publications: 0% Student Papers: 0%

exclude quoted include bibliography exclude small matches mode: quickview (classic) report Change mode print refresh download

<1% match (Internet from 06-Feb-2021)

<https://www.coursehero.com/file/68724883/Order-862423-Developmentaledited1docx/>

Criminal Acts and Property Damage [Name Institution Course Name Instructor's Name Date](#) Criminal Acts and Property Damage Damian Domino and Harry Heart are the suspects of several acts of crime that occurred sequentially in the same night, and it involved both defendants. One of the pair was involved directly, Damian Domino, while his partner, Harry Heart was indirectly involved in the unlawful events as the party that was to facilitate the crimes committed. Throughout their night of consecutive acts of felonies, they were involved in the offenses in different ways; therefore the consequences of their actions were of varying degree. Their case is of a complex nature that has loopholes both the defendants and plaintiffs could manipulate to influence the final verdict of the jury. This could also be attributed to the events that unfolded during their crime spree which influenced how the next act of theft would happen. In the first scenario, a couple is spotted by the watch-out, Harry heart, who proceeds to alert Damian Domino while he had partially managed to break into the house. He is forced to abort his mission; however, his theft of a radio on the exterior of the house is detected by a surveillance system. They move on to 16 Routledge Street from 20 Routledge Street after their setback where Damian Domino successfully manages to get away with jewelry from a house situated within the street. Their night comes to a conclusion when they meet with a taxi. The taxi driver exits his vehicle so that he could assess if the plaintiffs were in any form of trouble that he could assist but Damian Domino influences the driver to release his car keys onto the ground from where he picks them up, takes the vehicle, and drives away after verbally abusing the victim, Alex Jones. The accomplice had been involved in the legal offenses both actively and passively because he does not participate in the final act of robbery with violence1. 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 Robbery with Violence Concerning the law regarding robbery and violence, Damian Domino leans more toward being found guilty as the events that occurred during the act involved the physical confrontation of the taxi driver. He poked the taxi driver, which made Alex Jones drop the keys of his taxi which were taken from the ground by Damian Domino. He later proceeded to enter the vehicle and is said to have driven off, and the whole time he had a knife in his back pocket. This is similar to Corcoran against Anderton (1980) where the defendants claimed that they did not overwhelm the victim2. The incident was witnessed by Harry Heart but he was not involved in any of the doings perpetrated by the assailant. Following actus reus, any possession of an object that has the potential of causing bodily harm to a person during an act of theft incriminates a defendant if it is found out that the victim had pain or injuries inflicted on them. In this case, Alex Jones was pushed by the perpetrator of the crime before he proceeded to take his vehicle without his approval. In his appeal, Damian Domino did not know that what he did to the driver, the act of pushing, would injure the driver in any way as the motive of the minimal effort applied in pushing the driver was to get his keys. The vehicle would then be used in leaving the crime scene, it is also indicated that the assailant insulted the driver to stay away from him as he drove off without his accomplice3. Taking without Owner's Approval The law concerning taking without the owner's consent stipulates that if a person takes items legally owned by other people without their approval, they are charged with TWOC. The 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 law was created to address the cases that involved the unconsented acquisition of vehicles or any form of transportation, and later leaving them after they have served their short-term service of fleeing from a crime scene4. There is a distinction between the law about the robbery with the intent of retaining possession of an object and that which addresses illegally acquiring an object owned by another person but for short term use, which means that they were borrowing the item from their perspective and were in no way intending to cause harm to the plaintiff. Such situations are considered as non-offensive only if the accused did the action to perform another positive act that was of much importance, such as those that involve human rights if the perpetrator was, for instance trying to make sure an emergency patient is gotten to the hospital. This may be possible due to the late response of the parties responsible for emergency medical situations5. Recidivism and Precedence Damian Domino and Harry Heart are liable for being charged for theft under the law that governs recidivism, which involved the illegal acquisition of a radio from a trash can, jewelry, and a public service vehicle during the same night and sequentially as per the time variation6. The existence of surveillance footage that incriminates Damian Domino and associates him directly with the crime makes it harder for him to deny the charges against him, more so, the charges that are concerned with the acts of theft that followed the initial act. He is to be charged under the law that governs recidivism, which states that a person who had committed a crime in the past, or a serial committer of crime is most likely to repeat the 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 offense if they are suspected to have done it again. In such cases precedence has a substantial impact on the direction that the final verdict will go so any minimal proof that links the defendant to the crime is maximized in terms of magnitude to the case., therefore, because he was caught on a surveillance system committing the crime similar to the one involved with 16 Routledge Street, that was reported immediately after the 20 Routledge Street incident then it makes him the most probable perpetrator of the crime.Under the law of recidivism, Damian Domino is liable for burglary charges due to his initial attempt to break into an empty house that was caught on the surveillance systems attached to the property associated with the attempted crime on 20 Routledge Street.Harry Heart is also going to be tried concerning his involvement in the first crime incident, as the possible accomplice to all the crimes that followed the first one, including the one that involved the robbery of a taxi despite not being a part of the act. He could have finished what he started but he chose to demur right after enabling a series of criminal offenses which increases the jury's probability of associating him with the final crime since there exists no viable cause of conflict between the two crime partners7. Protection of Property against Unauthorized Access Owner's rights that protect their property from external acts of force that would damage them were violated by Damian Domino and Harry Heart on several accounts while they were in the process of breaking into people's residences along 20 Routledge Street and 16 Routledge Street. In the initial incident, Damian Domino attempts to break the property on 20 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 Routledge Street through the window while he was being monitored by the surveillance system linked to the building8. His appeal might be that he did not have the intention of stealing anything from the property, but the only way he could get acquitted was if he was closely related or acquainted with the legal owner of the building, otherwise, he is responsible for the interference of the state of another person's property. In the Jaggard vs Dickson case (1981) the defendant claims they broke into the plaintiff's property due to their error caused by drunkenness that made them have trouble finding the right building, which could also be the appeal of Damian Domino as he did not complete his break in he could argue that it happened because he noticed his mistake early enough9. Damian is also charged for interfering with the registration plate of the vehicle belonging to Alex Jones, a taxi driver who offered his assistance to the defendants in case they were in a bad situation. The registration plate of the vehicle is discovered to be slightly interfered with, and the fingerprints of Damian Domino are found on the plate after he was apprehended by a police officer under the charge of reckless driving, another act that possessed the potential to cause damage on people's property if the police officer was not involved. Association as an Offence According to the law regarding the association in the act of a crime, Harry Heart is to be charged with the offense of being an accomplice in a crime-related act that was headlined by Damian Domino, who was the main perpetrator10. Harry Heart's involvement in the nefarious acts was as a watch out for possible witnesses or law enforcement officers who make him 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 indirectly involved, but all the same, involved in the crimes because of facilitating it to ensure it was successful. It is stated that he prevented Damian Domino from getting caught at 16 Routledge Street by warning him of an incoming couple which forced the two to shift their attention toward 20 Routledge Street. An appeal can be made by Harry Heart to state his that only involvement was as a witness and not part of the pilfering but the fact that he did not report any of the incidences when he witnessed them implies that he was part of the legal offenses. Right to Privacy The Trespass act states that anyone who, without the owner's consent, enters into a building while the owner is present or not has violated the owner's right to privacy and the trespass act11. Damian Domino is guilty of the offense which was recorded by a surveillance system. He tries to force himself into a house along 20 Routledge Street but was unable; however, despite not making it through he initiated the crime which makes him liable to the charges. He also takes a radio from a trash can outside the premises which also makes him guilty of trespassing as he was within the confines of the building while committing the crime His appeal would be that he was outside the premises and that the object he picked up was in the trash bin which is used for the disposal of unwanted items. His second act would find him guilty of trespassing without a doubt because he entered the premises of someone else and stole the jeweler that was present in the drawer inside the bedroom, an interior section of the house. An appeal would only be made if he entered the first room whereby he would argue 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 Damian Domino faces the charge of careless driving after he stole a taxi from Alex Jones.He is caught by a law enforcement officer and charged for breaking the rules and regulations that govern the conduct of motor vehicle owners and how they are expected to use their vehicle to simply go and get some basic paper. Careless driving is considered as an act of jeopardizing the physical health of the passengers of the vehicle, and other motorists on the road. The chances of a vehicle getting into an accident and causing the inevitable death and injuries of others increase the influence of the charges but in this context, Harry Heart was unable to complete the crime spree by leaving Damian Domino to go alone in the vehicle, who later proceeded on to break the laws regulating vehicle used on public roads. Damian Domino's acquisition of most of the objects that he was caught with after being brought in by the police officer, places him in a position where his guilt of theft is inevitable as none of the items were registered to his legal personal information. He faces the charges of theft on two separate occasions that involved 2 different sets of stolen items. Life Threatening Situations Damian Domino's possession of a knife in his back pocket after his encounter with the driver, Alex Jones, poses a threat to the outcome of the case because it puts him in a situation whereby the offense is of a higher degree of life-threatening violence. He did not withdraw the knife at the time of the crime but possessing it will be considered by the jury as his actions signified he was a threat to Alex Jones, who was also the victim of a verbal assault by Damian Domino, which is also a violation of another person mentally. His chances of winning the case 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 would lie on how the recipient of three accounts of assault, Alex Jones would testify against him depending on the image Damian Domino presented to him on the night of the robbery. The act of stopping the taxi, owned by Alex Jones, but not to pay for his transport services is an act of pretense which falls under charges of fraud because during the time Damian Domino pretended to need the taxi which prompted the driver to emerge13. Damian Domino can argue that he was not responsible for the taxi driver stops and that it was his own decision to check up on him and his colleague but due to the context the jury might incline more toward ruling in favor of the driver due to his show of kindness. Conclusion The defendants committed a series of crimes within the same night that have varying degrees and manner of jurisdiction. Their case consists of both loopholes and dead ends that make the case complicated because there has to exist a specific set of charges that will exhaust the case, therefore, coming to a final and fair jurisdiction. 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 12References -- Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 --criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 --Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] -- ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property-law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 --social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 --Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 --TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. Guilty by association (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 --university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021 Cook P. 'Robbery violence'. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 1 Robbery violence. (1987). Robbery Violence, 1. 2 Corcoran v Anderton (1980) Cr R 71 App 104 3 <https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=6558&context=jclc> 4 Taking without owner's consent. (2018). Wiki Loves Africa. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taking_without_owner's_consent> accessed 26 March 2021 5Roy Light and others, 'Car theft': The offender's perspective (1993). HM Stationery Office. 6social work degree guide. (2021). Social Work Degree Guide. <https://www.socialworkdegreeguide.com/faq/what-is- recidivism/>> accessed 26 March 2021 7Edwin Thuma and others (2017). On the importance of Legal Catchphrases in Precedence Retrieval. In FIRE (Working Notes) (pp. 92-94). 8 ownership rights in real property. (2021). Legal Referral Service. <https://www.nycbar.org/get-legal-help/article/real-property- law/ownership-rights-in-real-property/>> accessed 26 March 2021 9 Jaggard v Dickinson [1981] QB 1 [1981] 10TaxCounsel Pty Ltd. 'Guilty by association' (2015). Taxation in Australia, 50 11criminal trespassing law. (2021). Criminal Trespassing Law. <https://www.nolo.com/legal-encyclopedia/criminal-trespassing- law.html>> accessed 26 March 2021 12Rob Mawby. 'Burglary' (2013). Routledge. 13university of southern Indiana. (2021). The University of Southern Indiana. <https://www.usi.edu/internalaudit/what-is-fraud/>> accessed 26 March 2021